United Nations A/70/985–S/2016/615

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.: General
15 July 2016

Original: English

General Assembly
Seventieth session
Agenda items 35 and 40

Security Council
Seventy-first year

Protracted conflicts in the GUAM area and their implications for international peace, security and development

The situation in the occupied territories of Azerbaijan

Letter dated 14 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Azerbaijan to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Upon instructions from my Government, I have the honour to convey herewith the records of violations of the ceasefire by the Republic of Armenia in June 2016 (see annex).* During the reported period, Armenia violated the ceasefire regime 635 times and continued the usage of large-calibre guns and heavy weaponry from its positions in the occupied territories of the Republic of Azerbaijan and from its own territory.

As a result, one serviceman of the armed forces of the Republic of Azerbaijan was wounded.

The continuous occupation of a large part of the territory of the Republic of Azerbaijan by the armed forces of Armenia remains the main obstacle in the settlement of the conflict and the only source of the escalation of the situation on the frontline and of occurrence of hostilities and casualties.

The sooner the Republic of Armenia withdraws its troops from the occupied territories of the Republic of Azerbaijan, the sooner peace and stability can be restored in the region.

I should be grateful if you would have the present letter and its annex circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda items 35 and 40, and of the Security Council.

(*Signed*) Yashar **Aliyev**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

* The annexes are being circulated in the language of submission only.

16-12264 (E) 200716

Please recycle

Annex to the letter dated 14 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Azerbaijan to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Violations of the ceasefire by the armed forces of the Republic of Armenia for June 2016*

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
1-8 June	Gulustan village (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AK/DShK/AKM	16	
	Talysh and Tapgaragoyunlu villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	DShK/AK/PK	10	
	Unnamed heights of Goranboy district, Azerbaijan	AK/PK/DShK/ AKM	19	
	Garagashly village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	3	
	Javahirli village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Merzili village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Saryjaly village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	4	
	Yusifjanly and Giyamaddinli villages (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	5	
	Jerabert and Karmiravan villages (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK/SVD/PK	5	
	Levonarkh village (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Kuropatkino and Minakhorlu villages (Khojavand district, Azerbaijan)	AK/AKM	12	
	Unnamed heights of Khojavand district, Azerbaijan	AK/PK/DShK	32	
	Ashaghy Seyidahmedli and Alykhanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	8	
	Garakhanbeyli village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	6	
	Gorgan village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	6	
	Horadiz village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	8	

Abbreviations: AGS, automatic grenade launcher, mounted; AK, Kalashnikov assault rifle; AKM, modernized Kalashnikov assault rifle; DShK, heavy machine gun; MT, mine thrower; OSV, semi-automatic sniper rifle; PK, Kalashnikov machine gun; RPG-7V, handheld anti-tank grenade launcher; SVD, sniper rifle; 60mm/82mm M, mortar; OSV-96, semi-automatic sniper rifle.

* Settlements of the Republic of Azerbaijan included in this information are under occupation by the Republic of Armenia or situated close to the fire point areas.

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
	Horadiz and Ashaghy Abdurrahmanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK/12.7mm M	20	
	Unnamed heights of Fizuli district, Azerbaijan	AK/12.7mm M	20	
	Mehdili and Jojug Marjanly villages (Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan)	AK	6	
	Unnamed heights of Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan	AK	7	
	Gaymagly village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	PKM/AK	1	
	Gushchu Ayrum village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Jafarli village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Aghdam village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	1	
	Unnamed heights of Gedebey district, Azerbaijan	AK	4	
9-16 June	Gulustan village (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AKM/12.7mm M/ DShK	21	
	Talysh and Tapgaragoyunlu villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AK	7	
	Talysh and Yenikand villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Unnamed heights of Goranboy district, Azerbaijan	AK/AKM/PK	17	
	Merzili and Tazakend villages (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	9	
	Merzili village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	2	
	Saryjaly village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Yusifjanly and Giyamaddinli villages (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK/AKM	6	
	Chayly village (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	1	
	Jerabert and Karmiravan villages (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK/AKM/PK	13	
	Levonarkh village (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	2	
	Kuropatkino and Minakhorlu villages (Khojavand district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	20	

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
	Unnamed heights of Khojavand district, Azerbaijan	AK	13	
	Ashaghy Seyidahmedli and Alykhanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	14	
	Ashaghy Veysalli village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	3	
	Garakhanbeyli village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	12	
	Gorgan village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	14	
	Horadiz village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	13	
	Horadiz and Ashaghy Abdurrahmanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	11	
	Unnamed heights of Fizuli district, Azerbaijan	AK	17	
	Mehdili and Jojug Marjanly villages (Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan)	AK	3	
	Unnamed heights of Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan	AK	8	
	Farahli village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	1	
	Gushchu Ayrum village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Gyzylhajyly village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Kemerli village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Aghbulag village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AK	5	
	Aghdam village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	2	
	Alibayli village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	DShK/AKM	2	
	Kokhanabi village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	1	
	Kohna Gyshlag village (Aghstafa district, Azerbaijan)	AK	5	
	Unnamed heights of Gazakh district, Azerbaijan	AK	5	
	Unnamed heights of Goygol district, Azerbaijan	AK	1	
	Unnamed heights of Gedebey district, Azerbaijan	AK	3	

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
17-24 June	Gulustan village (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	2	
	Talysh and Tapgaragoyunlu villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AK	7	
	Talysh and Yenikand villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Unnamed heights of Goranboy district, Azerbaijan	AK/DShK/PK/ AKM	7	
	Kengerli village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Shuraabad village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Shykhlar and Bash Garvand villages (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Yusifjanly and Giyamaddinli villages (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Hasangaya village (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Jerabert and Karmiravan villages (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	3	
	Seysulan and Gapanli villages (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK	9	
	Kuropatkino village (Khojavand district, Azerbaijan)	AK	13	
	Unnamed heights of Khojavand district, Azerbaijan	AK/AKM	7	
	Ashaghy Seyidahmedli and Alykhanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	4	
	Garakhanbeyli village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	4	
	Gorgan village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Horadiz village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	6	
	Horadiz and Ashaghy Abdurrahmanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	8	
	Unnamed heights of Fizuli district, Azerbaijan	AK	4	
	Mehdili and Jojug Marjanly villages (Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Unnamed heights of Jabrayil district, Azerbaijan	AK	4	

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
	Gaymagly village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	PKM/AK	3	
	Gyzylhajyly village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Kemerli village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK/DShK	2	
	Aghbulag village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	3	
	Aghdam village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AKM/AK	11	
	Alibayli village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	DShK/AKM	3	
	Muncuglu village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Kokhanabi village (Tovuz district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Kohna Gyshlag village (Aghstafa district, Azerbaijan)	AK	4	
	Unnamed heights of Gazakh district, Azerbaijan	AK	1	
25-30 June	Gulustan village (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	4	
	Talysh and Tapgaragoyunlu villages (Goranboy district, Azerbaijan)	AKM/AK	3	
	Unnamed heights of Goranboy district, Azerbaijan	AK/AKM/DShK	3	
	Merzili village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	PK	1	
	Yusifjanly village (Aghdam district, Azerbaijan)	PK	3	
	Chayly village (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK/AKM/ OSV 96	4	
	Jerabert and Karmiravan villages (Tartar district, Azerbaijan)	AK	3	
	Kuropatkino and Minakhorlu villages (Khojavand district, Azerbaijan)	AK/AKM	11	1 serviceman of the armed forces of Azerbaijan was wounded
	Unnamed heights of Khojavand district, Azerbaijan	AK	2	
	Ashaghy Seyidahmedli and Alykhanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	7	
	Garakhanbeyli village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	1	
	Gorgan village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	4	
	Horadiz village (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	5	

Dates (Weeks)	*Direction (locality)*	*Weapons used*	*Amount of ceasefire violations*	*Casualties and damages*
	Horadiz and Ashaghy Abdurrahmanly villages (Fizuli district, Azerbaijan)	AK	5	
	Unnamed heights of Fizuli district, Azerbaijan	AK	2	
	Gushchu Ayrum village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AKM	2	
	Gyzylhajyly village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	PK/AK	4	
	Kemerli village (Gazakh district, Azerbaijan)	AK	2	
	Kohna Gyshlag village (Aghstafa district, Azerbaijan)	AK/PK	2	
	Unnamed heights of Gazakh district, Azerbaijan	AKM/AK	3	

United Nations A/70/986–S/2016/537

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.: General
22 July 2016

Original: English

General Assembly
Seventieth session
Agenda item 72 (c)
Promotion and protection of human rights: human rights situations and reports of special rapporteurs and representatives

Security Council
Seventy-first year

Identical letters dated 14 June 2016 from the Permanent Representatives of Colombia, Qatar, the Republic of Korea, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Turkey, Ukraine, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, the President of the General Assembly and the President of the Security Council

We have the honour to write to you on behalf of Albania, Andorra, Australia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Chad, Colombia, Comoros, Croatia, Cyprus, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kuwait, Latvia, Libya, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Maldives, Malta, Mauritania, Monaco, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Oman, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, the Republic of Korea, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Slovenia, Somalia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and Yemen.

We express our deepest concern at the ongoing grave humanitarian situation in Syria, the continued violations of the cessation of hostilities, the lack of protection of civilians and in particular the devastating conditions inside Syria's besieged and hard-to-reach areas. The Secretary-General reiterated on 14 January 2016 that "the use of starvation as a weapon of war is a war crime". We stress the urgent need for immediate, full, unimpeded and sustained access for the delivery of humanitarian aid to all areas, consistent with the relevant Security Council resolutions and for parties to comply with their obligations under international humanitarian law.

We welcome the 17 May statement issued by the International Syria Support Group calling for immediate, unimpeded and sustained humanitarian access to all people in need throughout Syria, as defined by the United Nations and called for in Security Council resolution 2254 (2015). In this regard, we reiterate that the

Please recycle

delivery of aid through humanitarian aid convoys to besieged areas is the most effective way to allow sustainable humanitarian support. We urge the Security Council to uphold its responsibilities, including by focusing on the protection of civilians, including ending the Syrian regime's starvation and siege tactics; and to further support the Group's efforts to that end. The Group called for the World Food Programme (WFP) to immediately carry out a programme for air bridges and air drops if humanitarian access were denied to any of the United Nations designated besieged areas by 1 June. In this regard, we welcome the presentation on 9 June 2016 by WFP of an operational plan for air operations to besieged areas in Syria.

According to the United Nations, there are currently 4.6 million people living in hard-to-reach areas in Syria. In these areas, the situation is critical. Men, women and children are regularly denied humanitarian aid and needlessly suffer from malnourishment and disease.

The United Nations estimates that 592,700 people are besieged in 19 locations across Syria. The reality confronting Syria's besieged communities is abominable. Basic food staples are denied to children, women and men. Babies are denied milk and left to starve. The sick are denied medical supplies and left to die. Nowhere is the humanitarian situation more dire than in Syria's besieged areas. The Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for Syria has called these conditions "medieval".

The devastating conditions inside Syria's besieged and hard-to-reach areas are as preventable as they are heinous. The United Nations has stated that it stands ready to deliver assistance to all civilians in need, including in hard-to-reach and besieged areas. The Security Council has called repeatedly for immediate, full, unimpeded and sustained access to all areas. Yet, since the start of the Syrian conflict, the Syrian regime in particular has deliberately besieged cities as a tactic of war. According to the Secretary-General, the Syrian regime is responsible for 78 per cent of the sieges, while Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant is responsible for nearly 19 per cent and other non-State armed actors are responsible for 3 per cent. Sieges directed against civilians are violations of international humanitarian law and must be lifted with immediate effect.

We strongly condemn the denial of humanitarian access to those in need, and call on all parties to allow and facilitate immediate, full, unimpeded and sustained access of humanitarian relief to all areas, including besieged communities, as well as hard-to-reach areas, where the needs of the civilian population continue to grow. This includes the necessary guarantees for the safety and security of the humanitarian actors, including medical missions.

We express our strong support for the conclusions reached by the International Syria Support Group, during its ministerial meeting of 17 May 2016, which, if implemented in full, have the capacity to improve the humanitarian situation for hundreds of thousands in Syria and enhance efforts to achieve a political solution. We support the Group's call for WFP to air drop aid to all areas in need beginning 1 June, should access to Syria's besieged areas continue to be denied.

It is imperative that immediate, full, unimpeded and sustained assistance be provided and that it be strictly on the basis of need, as determined by the United Nations, without any adverse distinction and in particular to besieged areas. We also reaffirm the importance of preserving humanitarian space for principled humanitarian action. We urge the Security Council and all Member States to take

appropriate measures to alleviate humanitarian suffering and to ensure that humanitarian assistance is provided, including medical assistance, to all in need in Syria. No one in Syria should have to live in fear of starvation.

In parallel, the Security Council must intensify its focus on the effective implementation of the cessation of hostilities in Syria. It is incomprehensible to our Governments that people in Syria continue to be subjected to unconscionable violence of a historic scale, including attacks against medical facilities, schools, market places and internally displaced person camps, while no sufficient efforts have been undertaken to protect civilians or ensure accountability. As the Syrian authorities are unwilling to investigate and prosecute international crimes, the international community must take the appropriate measures to promote accountability for these crimes. Violations of international humanitarian law committed by all parties to the conflict must stop and perpetrators should be held to account.

Furthermore, we reiterate that the humanitarian situation will continue to deteriorate in the absence of a political solution. We hence urge all parties to work towards a genuine political transition, which would include the establishment of a broad, inclusive, non-sectarian transitional governing body with full executive powers in line with Security Council resolution 2254 (2015), including the full implementation of the Geneva communiqué. We fully support the efforts of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for Syria in this regard.

The resolve of the International Syria Support Group creates new opportunities to end starvation across Syria. It is imperative that we not let those opportunities go to waste. On behalf of our respective Governments, we reiterate our appeal to the Security Council to support the Group's efforts, most particularly in ensuring immediate, full, unimpeded and sustained humanitarian access in Syria.

For too long, the Syrian people have been subjected to unconscionable violence and starvation. We, the undersigned, have repeatedly condemned the crisis in Syria and the ongoing use of violence. Condemnations are not enough. Syria is the crisis of our time. How we, as members of the United Nations, choose to respond to the Syrian crisis, will define our legacy for future generations.

We would be grateful if you would have the present letter issued as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 72 (c), and of the Security Council.

(*Signed*) María Emma **Mejía Vélez**
Permanent Representative of Colombia to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Alya Ahmed Saif **Al-Thani**
Permanent Representative of Qatar to the United Nations

(*Signed*) **Oh** Joon
Permanent Representative of the Republic of Korea to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Abdallah Y. **Al-Mouallimi**
Permanent Representative of Saudi Arabia to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Fodé **Seck**
Permanent Representative of Senegal to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Güven **Begeç**
Chargé d'affaires a.i.
Permanent Mission of Turkey to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Volodymyr **Yelchenko**
Permanent Representative of Ukraine to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Matthew **Rycroft**, CBE
Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Samantha **Power**
Permanent Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations

United Nations A/70/987*

General Assembly

Distr.: General
18 July 2016

Original: English

Seventieth session
Agenda item 120
Revitalization of the work of the General Assembly

Revitalization of the work of the General Assembly

Report of the Secretary-General

Summary

The present report has been prepared in accordance with paragraph 5 (d) of the annex to General Assembly resolution 58/316. It contains the draft programme of work of the plenary for the seventy-first session of the General Assembly. The draft programmes of work of five of the six Main Committees of the Assembly, which were approved by the Assembly, can be found in the respective decisions of the Assembly. The status of documentation will be issued as an addendum to the present report.

* Reissued for technical reasons on 21 July 2016.

16-11861* (E) 210716

Please recycle

I. Introduction

1. The General Assembly, in paragraph 5 (d) of the annex to its resolution 58/316 on further measures for the revitalization of the work of the Assembly, decided that in July of each year the General Committee would conduct a review of the proposed programme of work for the forthcoming session of the Assembly, on the basis of a report submitted by the Secretary-General, and would also submit recommendations on the matter to the Assembly at its forthcoming session. The report would include information on the status of documentation to be issued during the forthcoming session.

2. The present report has been prepared in response to that request.

3. The draft programmes of work of the Main Committees, with the exception of the Fifth Committee,[1] have been approved by the respective Committees and were adopted by the General Assembly in the following decisions: First Committee (decision 70/516); Special Political and Decolonization Committee (Fourth Committee) (decision 70/521); Second Committee (decision 70/549); Third Committee (decision 70/537); and Sixth Committee (decision 70/527).

4. With a view to assisting the General Committee and the General Assembly in their efforts to maximize both effectiveness and efficiency, the present report contains the draft programme of work of the plenary for the seventy-first session. The draft programmes of the Main Committees are available in the respective decisions.

5. The status of documentation will be issued as an addendum to the present report.

II. Draft programme of work of the plenary for the seventy-first session of the General Assembly

First week (13-16 September)

Tuesday p.m.	Opening of the session by the President of the General Assembly [1][2]
	Minute of silent prayer or meditation [2]
	Appointment of the members of the Credentials Committee [3 (a)]
Wednesday	Meeting of the General Committee
Friday	Organization of work, adoption of the agenda and allocation of items: first report of the General Committee [7]

[1] The Fifth Committee has not taken a decision on this matter.

[2] Items and their numbers cited herein are from the preliminary list of items to be included in the provisional agenda of the seventy-first session (A/71/50). All items are pending approval by the General Assembly at its seventy-first session for their inclusion in the agenda and allocation for consideration. Some items that are on the preliminary list but not reflected in this draft programme are omitted either in accordance with relevant resolutions and decisions of the Assembly or for scheduling at a later date.

Second week (19-23 September)	
Monday	High-level plenary meeting on addressing large movements of refugees and migrants (decision 70/539 and resolution 70/290)
Tuesday to Friday	General debate [8][3]
Third week (26-30 September)	
Monday-Thursday	General debate [8]
Fourth week (3-7 October)	**[Organizational, administrative and other matters]**
Wednesday	Report of the Secretary-General on the work of the Organization [108]
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences]
Thursday	Sport for development and peace [11]
Fifth week (10-14 October)	
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences; Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Monday	1. Report of the Economic and Social Council [9]; Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields [13]: joint debate
	2. Follow-up to the outcome of the Millennium Summit [116]: specific meeting focused on development (resolution 60/265)
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences; Development of Africa]
Friday	New Partnership for Africa's Development: progress in implementation and international support [62 (a) and (b)]; 2001-2010: Decade to Roll Back Malaria in Developing Countries, Particularly in Africa [12]: joint debate

[3] As the first item before the opening of the general debate, the Secretary-General will present his report on the work of the Organization.

Sixth week (17-21 October)	
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Monday	Implementation of the resolutions of the United Nations [118]; Revitalization of the work of the General Assembly [119]: joint debate
	[Maintenance of international peace and security]
Wednesday	The situation in Afghanistan [36]
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Thursday	Commemoration of the abolition of slavery and the transatlantic slave trade [117]
Seventh week (24-28 October)	
Monday	*United Nations Day concert (to be confirmed)*
Wednesday	**[Maintenance of international peace and security]**
	Necessity of ending the economic, commercial and financial embargo imposed by the United States of America against Cuba [39]
	[Promotion of justice and international law]
Thursday	Report of the International Court of Justice [70]
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Friday	Election of fourteen members of the Human Rights Council [113 (d)]
Eighth week (31 October-4 November)	
	[Promotion of justice and international law]
Monday	Report of the International Criminal Court [72]
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences]
Wednesday	Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields [13]: plenary meeting on the socioeconomic and environmental effects of the 2015/16 El Niño phenomenon (resolution 70/110)
Thursday, p.m.	Election of the members of the International Law Commission [113 (b)]

	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Friday	1. Election of seven members of the Committee for Programme and Coordination [113 (a)]
	2. Appointment of members of the Committee on Conferences [114 (g)]
	3. Appointment of members of the Joint Inspection Unit [114 (h)]
Ninth week (7-11 November)	
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Monday	Question of equitable representation on and increase in the membership of the Security Council and other matters related to the Security Council [120]
	[Promotion of justice and international law; Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Wednesday	Report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 [71]; International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals [126]: joint debate
	[Promotion of human rights]
Thursday	Report of the Human Rights Council [63]
Tenth week (14-18 November)	
	[Disarmament]
Tuesday	Report of the International Atomic Energy Agency [87]
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences; Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Wednesday	Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields [13]; Follow-up to the outcome of the Millennium Summit [116]; Strengthening of the United Nations system [121 (a) and (b)]: joint debate
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences; Organizational, administrative and other matters]

Thursday	1. The role of the United Nations in promoting a new global human order [15]
	2. Investigation into the conditions and circumstances resulting in the tragic death of Dag Hammarskjöld and of the members of the party accompanying him [127]
Eleventh week (21-25 November)	
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Monday	Cooperation between the United Nations and regional and other organizations [123 (a) to (y)]
Thursday	*Official United Nations holiday (Thanksgiving)*
Twelfth week (28 November-4 December)	
	[Maintenance of international peace and security]
Tuesday p.m.-Wednesday a.m. and p.m.	1. Question of Palestine [35]
	2. The situation in the Middle East [34]
	[Maintenance of international peace and security]
Thursday	The role of diamonds in fuelling conflict [30]
	[Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Friday	Appointment of the judges of the United Nations Dispute Tribunal [114 (l)]
Thirteenth week (5-9 December)	
	[Promotion of justice and international law]
Monday	Oceans and the law of the sea [73 (a) and (b)]
	[Promotion of sustained economic growth and sustainable development in accordance with the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly and recent United Nations conferences; Organizational, administrative and other matters]
Wednesday	1. Culture of peace [14]
	2. Global health and foreign policy [124]
	[Effective coordination of humanitarian assistance efforts]
Thursday	Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian and disaster relief assistance of the United Nations, including special economic assistance [69 (a) to (d)]

Monday, 12 December	**[Maintenance of international peace and security; Organizational, administrative and other matters]** 1. Report of the Credentials Committee [3 (b)] 2. Prevention of armed conflict [31] 3. Protracted conflicts in the GUAM area and their implications for international peace, security and development [32] 4. Zone of peace and cooperation of the South Atlantic [33] 5. The situation in the occupied territories of Azerbaijan [37] 6. Election of five members of the Organizational Committee of the Peacebuilding Commission [113 (c)] 7. International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 [125] (12 December: end of 13 weeks)

Plenary items not scheduled for debate during the main part of the session[4]

Implementation of the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS and the political declarations on HIV/AIDS [10]

Elimination of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance [66]

Report of the Peacebuilding Commission [29]; Report of the Secretary-General on the Peacebuilding Fund [109]: joint debate

Elections to fill vacancies in principal organs: Election of five non-permanent members of the Security Council [111 (a)][5]

Elections to fill vacancies in principal organs: Election of eighteen members of the Economic and Social Council [111 (b)][5]

Appointments to fill vacancies in subsidiary organs and other appointments: Appointment of members of the Board of the 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns [114 (i)]

Appointments to fill vacancies in subsidiary organs and other appointments: Confirmation of the appointment of the Administrator of the United Nations Development Programme [114 (j)]

[4] Item 112, entitled "Appointment of the Secretary-General of the United Nations", will be scheduled during the main part of the session on a date to be determined.

[5] The General Assembly, in its resolution 68/307, decided to conduct the elections of the non-permanent members of the Security Council and the members of the Economic and Social Council about six months before the elected members assume their responsibilities, beginning at the seventieth session.

Appointments to fill vacancies in subsidiary organs and other appointments: Confirmation of the appointment of the Secretary-General of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development [114 (k)]

Multilingualism [122]

Notification by the Secretary-General under Article 12, paragraph 2, of the Charter of the United Nations [110]

Report of the Security Council [28] (see S/2015/944)

United Nations A/70/988–S/2016/623

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.: General
19 July 2016

Original: English

General Assembly
Seventieth session
Agenda item 44
Question of Cyprus

Security Council
Seventy-first year

Letter dated 14 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Turkey to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to transmit herewith a letter dated 13 July 2016, addressed to you from Mehmet Dânâ, representative of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (see annex).

I would be grateful if the text of the present letter could be circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 44, and of the Security Council.

(*Signed*) Y. Halit **Çevik**
Permanent Representative

16-12447 (E) 220716

Please recycle

Annex to the letter dated 14 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Turkey to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Upon instructions from my Government, I am writing in response to the letter dated 20 June 2016 addressed to you by the Greek Cypriot representative in New York and circulated as a document of the General Assembly and of the Security Council (A/70/959-S/2016/554), which contains yet again false assertions similar to those made in his previous letters. In order to set the record straight, I would like to bring the following to your attention.

At the outset, regarding the claims of so-called "infringements of international air traffic regulations" and "violations of the national airspace of the Republic of Cyprus by Turkey", I wish, once again, to reiterate that flights within the sovereign airspace of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus take place with the full knowledge and consent of the relevant authorities of the State, over which the Greek Cypriot administration in South Cyprus has no jurisdiction or right of say whatsoever. The civil aviation authority of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus is the sole competent authority to provide air traffic and aeronautical information services within its own national airspace. As for the false assertions that Turkey "illegally issued several notices to airmen", it must be underlined that activities within the Ercan advisory airspace that require notices to airmen are carried out by or coordinated with the relevant authorities of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus in accordance with article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation (Chicago Convention).

Similarly, the claim in the letter regarding the Turkish Cypriot ports is also baseless, given the fact that the Greek Cypriot administration has no jurisdiction or right of say over Northern Cyprus. Furthermore, this claim ignores the present realities on the ground, namely, the existence of two independent self-governing States on the island of Cyprus, each exercising sovereignty and jurisdiction within its respective territory.

As to the repeated false allegations regarding Ercan airport in the North, it should once again be underlined that the technologically up-to-date Ercan area control centre and airport in North Cyprus have been providing regular, reliable and safe air traffic services since the Greek Cypriot refusal in 1977 to provide air traffic services in the northern part of the island, in line with its isolation policy that has been imposed on the Turkish Cypriot people. Ever since, all flights within the sovereign airspace of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus have taken place with the full knowledge and permission of the Civil Aviation Department of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, over which the Greek Cypriot administration in South Cyprus has no jurisdiction or control.

Moreover, the isolation imposed on the Turkish Cypriots, which the Greek Cypriot side attempts to perpetuate by encouraging the international community to deem all air and sea ports in Northern Cyprus as "illegal", is in complete contravention of international law, as well as the call made by the then-Secretary-General, Kofi Annan, in his report to the Security Council dated 28 May 2004 (S/2004/437), in which he clearly states "I would hope they [members of the Security Council] can give a strong lead to all States to cooperate both bilaterally and in international bodies to eliminate unnecessary restrictions and barriers that

have the effect of isolating the Turkish Cypriots and impeding their development, deeming such a move as consistent with Security Council resolutions 541 (1983) and 550 (1984)".

The legislation of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus on aeronautical safety meets all standards and recommendations of the International Civil Aviation Organization, providing safe and swift navigation of aircraft landing at or taking off from Ercan airport and using the airspace of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus. All airports in Northern Cyprus are in full conformity with international standards, and necessary investments have been made to keep up with developing technology. The number of air traffic controllers has been increased in accordance with the increasing number of flights over the years, and the Ercan area control centre is in regular and close cooperation with the Ankara area control centre in order to ensure the safe conduct of all flights in the region. In 2015 alone, the number of passengers that used Ercan airport stood at nearly 3.5 million (compared with 3.25 million in 2014), while a 7 per cent increase in that number is expected in 2016. Moreover, in 2015, nearly 26,000 planes used Ercan airport for arrival and departure, and around 210,000 planes used the Ercan advisory airspace, a total which is expected to increase by 7 per cent in 2016. In that respect, it must be stressed that the Turkish Cypriot side is committed to upholding the highest standards in the field of air navigation safety, in full conformity with the Chicago Convention of 1944, and that it is ready to cooperate with the Greek Cypriot authorities on this very important issue.

At a time when the comprehensive settlement negotiations are progressing swiftly in a result-oriented atmosphere, I deem it necessary to stress the need to fully channel all our efforts towards achieving a just and lasting settlement of the Cyprus conflict in line with the established United Nations parameters and the joint declaration of 11 February 2014, which envisage a new partnership on the basis of the political equality of the two peoples of the island within the framework of a bizonal, bicommunal federation with two constituent States of equal status. Moreover, the Greek Cypriot administration should once again be reminded that its counterpart is, and has always been, the Turkish Cypriot side, not Turkey.

Availing myself of the present opportunity, I would like to call upon the Greek Cypriot side to discontinue such counterproductive and outdated rhetoric, which is not reflective of the joint vision and cooperation expressed by the leaders of both communities on the island since the resumption of the settlement talks in May 2015. As the two future partners on the island of Cyprus, we should never lose sight of the fact that the solution of the Cyprus problem will comprehensively deal with all pending issues between the two sides and thus focus all our efforts towards the ultimate goal of settlement.

Finally, I would like to reiterate that, as the Turkish Cypriot side, we are committed to maintaining our constructive and positive stance within the context of your good offices mission in Cyprus and encourage our Greek Cypriot neighbours to follow a similar path.

(*Signed*) Mehmet **Dânâ**
Representative
Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus

United Nations A/70/989–S/2016/625

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.: General
19 July 2016

Original: English

General Assembly
Seventieth session
Agenda item 72 (c)
Promotion and protection of human rights: human rights situations and reports of special rapporteurs and representatives

Security Council
Seventy-first year

Letter dated 15 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Ukraine to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to enclose herewith the Statement of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine on the thematic report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights entitled, "Accountability for killings in Ukraine from January 2014 to May 2016" (see annex).

I would appreciate your having the present letter and its annex distributed as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 72 (c), and of the Security Council.

(*Signed*) Volodymyr **Yelchenko**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

16-12485 (E) 250716

Please recycle

Annex to the letter dated 15 July 2016 from the Permanent Representative of Ukraine to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Statement of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine on the thematic report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights entitled, "Accountability for killings in Ukraine from January 2014 to May 2016"

Ukraine highly appreciates the work of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) aimed at identifying and eliminating the serious problems in the human rights protection system and supports its efforts to promote international human rights principles and standards in order to prevent grave human rights violations in the future.

The report of OHCHR entitled, "Accountability for killings in Ukraine from January 2014 to May 2016" once again highlights that the majority of casualties in Ukraine were caused by the armed conflict, which is continually fuelled by the influx of foreign fighters, including former and present military personnel, as well as weapons from the Russian Federation. Unfortunately, the majority of the civilian casualties were caused by the shelling of residential areas by illegal armed groups. Ukrainian armed forces are prohibited from firing at positions of militants located in the areas inhabited by civilians.

We stress the exceptional importance of conducting a comprehensive investigation and analysis of the casualties resulting from the illegal occupation of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea and from Russian military aggression in Donbas.

In this regard, it becomes a matter of crucial importance to document the facts surrounding civilian deaths caused by military actions, as well as arbitrary executions and torture leading to death by illegal armed groups in Donbas and by the Russian occupying forces. Such documentation will eventually make it possible to establish the facts of the war and other crimes and bring all perpetrators to justice. We emphasize that Ukraine will not tolerate impunity and will investigate all facts pertaining to human rights abuses.

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine highly appreciates the initiative of OHCHR and the United Nations human rights monitoring mission in Ukraine in preparing the first thematic report and is ready to cooperate on implementing its recommendations.

We hope that OHCHR will continue to prepare its thematic reports and believe that one of them will be dedicated to the human rights situation in the illegally occupied Autonomous Republic of Crimea and the city of Sevastopol where the systematic abuses of human rights by the Russian Federation are continuing to take place.

Ukraine calls upon the international community to intensify the pressure on the Russian Federation and armed groups under its control to put an end to arbitrary deprivation of life and all other human rights abuses.

United Nations A/70/990

General Assembly

Distr.: General
25 July 2016

Original: English

Seventieth session
Agenda item 69 (a)
Rights of indigenous peoples: rights of indigenous peoples

Compilation of views on possible measures necessary to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in relevant United Nations meetings on issues affecting them, and of good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation

Note by the President of the General Assembly

The General Assembly, in its resolution 70/232 of 23 December 2015, requested the President of the General Assembly to conduct timely, inclusive, representative and transparent consultations with Member States, indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions from all regions of the world, and existing relevant mechanisms of the United Nations, on the possible measures necessary, including procedural and institutional steps and selection criteria, to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, and also requested the President to prepare a compilation of the views presented during the consultations, including good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, which would form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the Assembly during its seventy-first session.

Pursuant to that request, on 18 February 2016, the President of the General Assembly appointed four advisers to conduct consultation as follows: two from Member States, namely Kai Sauer, Permanent Representative of Finland, and Martha Ama Akyaa Pobee, Permanent Representative of Ghana, as well as two from indigenous peoples, namely, Claire Winfield Ngamihi Charters from the Pacific region, and James Anaya from the North America region.

I now have the pleasure to transmit herewith a compilation of views on possible measures necessary to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in relevant United Nations meetings on issues affecting them, and of good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, to form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the General Assembly at its seventy-first session (annex I) as well as elements for discussion during the seventy-first session of the Assembly (annex II).

16-12490 (E) 100816

Please recycle

Annex I

Compilation of views on possible measures necessary to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in relevant United Nations meetings on issues affecting them, and of good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, to form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the General Assembly at its seventy-first session

I. Background

1. At the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples, Member States committed themselves to considering ways to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them (resolution 69/2, para. 33) and requested the Secretary-General to report to the General Assembly and submit specific proposals to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions, building on the report of the Secretary-General on ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on the issues affecting them (ibid., para. 40).

2. In his report on progress made in the implementation of the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples (A/70/84-E/2015/76), the Secretary-General made concrete proposals on enabling the participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions. He also reiterated a series of considerations for the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives in the United Nations, namely:

(a) Procedures that will make the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives meaningful and effective;

(b) Criteria for determining the eligibility of indigenous peoples' representatives for accreditation as such;

(c) Nature and membership of the body to determine the eligibility of indigenous peoples' representatives for accreditation;

(d) Details of the process, including the information required to be submitted to obtain accreditation as an indigenous peoples' representative.

3. These considerations, together with the background documents,[a] have guided the consultations in March-June 2016 conducted by the advisers to the President of the General Assembly (see below). This draft compilation is based primarily on the submissions to the electronic consultation and contributions made during the consultations held on 11 and 18 May and 30 June 2016 in New York.

[a] See www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/participation-of-indigenous-peoples-at-the-united-nations.html.

II. Consultations

4. The General Assembly in resolution 70/232 of 23 December 2015:

Request[ed] the President of the General Assembly to conduct, within existing resources, timely, inclusive, representative and transparent consultations with Member States, indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions from all regions of the world, and existing relevant mechanisms of the United Nations, on the possible measures necessary, including procedural and institutional steps and selection criteria, to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, and also requests the President to prepare a compilation of the views presented during the consultations, including good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, which will form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the Assembly during its seventy-first session.

5. The President of the General Assembly appointed in February 2016 four advisers to assist him in fulfilling his mandate with respect to consultation and the compilation of views concerning indigenous peoples' participation at the United Nations.

6. The President of the General Assembly launched the consultation process with an electronic consultation on 7 March 2016. The first face-to-face consultation occurred on 11 May 2016. The second face-to-face consultation was held on 18 May 2016. The third and final face-to-face consultation was held on 30 June 2016. The advisers also held meetings with the Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples, the Chair of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, as well as interested delegations of Member States and indigenous peoples. This compilation reflects the responses provided to the President of the General Assembly at the consultations and meetings. It also provides a preliminary outline of good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation.

III. Summary of contributions

7. In the first and second drafts of the compilation the advisers attempted to summarize in a comprehensive way all of the views expressed. In the third and this final draft, to constructively present a balanced compilation, an attempt is made to summarize the various views that appear to have some support while also noting points of difference at this stage in the process. Where appropriate, some further thoughts are offered on outstanding questions that all parties might like to continue to reflect upon in more depth and on the legal and procedural parameters that regulate the process of enhancing indigenous peoples' participation at the United Nations.

8. Some delegations referred to the need for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples to guide the proposals to enhance indigenous peoples' participation at the United Nations, including articles 3, 5, 18, 19, 20, 32, 33, 41 and 42.

A. Suggested venues of participation

9. While noting the status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the Charter of the United Nations as well as in article 46 of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, most responses have in principle indicated the need for enhanced forms of participation for indigenous peoples in United Nations bodies affecting them, although some responses have expressed concerns about moving in that direction.

10. Some noted the affirmation of indigenous peoples' right to self-determination as reflected in the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples as well as other articles in the Declaration setting out indigenous peoples' rights to participate in processes that have an impact on them.

11. There was considerable (but not uniform) support expressed for a separate category of participation in the United Nations, including in the General Assembly, for indigenous peoples, as the current procedures and practices such as those applicable to non-governmental organizations accredited to the Economic and Social Council do not naturally or sufficiently accommodate the participation of indigenous peoples as indigenous peoples in United Nations bodies.

12. There appears to be a convergence of views among those responding that, at a minimum, the level of indigenous peoples' participation at the United Nations should not fall below that of non-governmental organizations accredited to the Economic and Social Council and, further, should not in any way undermine existing unique procedures permitting the participation of indigenous peoples' organizations in the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.

13. Participation in the General Assembly remains centred on States Members of the United Nations as well as, albeit to a lesser degree, non-member States and entities and organizations having received a standing invitation to participate as observers in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly. Not all organizations having received an invitation to participate as observers in the General Assembly are intergovernmental organizations. There is not one singular resolution setting out the forms of participation for observers in the General Assembly. The specific forms of participation by the individual observers are regulated by organization-specific General Assembly resolutions and decisions and the rules and practices of the General Assembly.

14. Some mentioned General Assembly decision 49/426 of 1994, in which the Assembly decided that the granting of observer status in the General Assembly should be confined to States and to those intergovernmental organizations whose activities cover matters of interest to the Assembly. Others noted that in practice a few entities that are not intergovernmental organizations have received, by a resolution, a standing invitation to participate as observers in the General Assembly. Moreover, others again have stressed that the focus in this process is on the establishment of a new and unique category for indigenous peoples' participation in the General Assembly. Advice received indicates that the General Assembly has the authority to do so.

15. Some States stressed the need for coherence and consistency in the treatment of non-State actors and their rights to participate in the United Nations, and also stressed how a new category might impact on the participation of indigenous groups

and/or non-governmental organizations in the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Another view was expressed that it is potentially discriminatory to exclude indigenous peoples from an invitation to observe the General Assembly when other non-State actors have an invitation to observe the General Assembly.

16. Another view was that indigenous peoples are not States and thus should not be accorded a so-called "permanent observer status", which is similar to the view that the intergovernmental nature of the United Nations, and in particular of participation in the General Assembly, should be maintained.

17. Some expressed concern about potential practical implications of enlarging the General Assembly to include observers for indigenous peoples' organizations, although others noted that this should not be an obstacle to enhancing indigenous peoples' participation and can be addressed practically. Some suggested that these issues can be managed just as the participation of non-State actors is managed in, for example, the Economic and Social Council and the Human Rights Council and their respective subsidiary bodies. For example, spaces for indigenous peoples' representatives speaking in the General Assembly might be managed by procedures setting out specific times and a set number of speaking spots for accredited observer indigenous peoples' organizations. Those speaking slots might be, under one suggestion, allotted by regions (understood from an indigenous-regions viewpoint). In this way observer indigenous peoples' organizations would not be limited in number but, in the interests of the efficient organization of General Assembly meetings, speaking opportunities might be.

18. Some are of the view that mechanisms to enable unique forms of participation for indigenous peoples should be first established in only some United Nations bodies such as the Economic and Social Council, the Human Rights Council and their subsidiary bodies.

19. Another view was that more research was required into how indigenous peoples use existing opportunities to participate in the United Nations and that more information should be provided about already existing opportunities. Moreover, the view was expressed that it might perhaps be appropriate to first consider how the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples might be strengthened.

20. Many mentioned the need for indigenous peoples' guaranteed participation in all United Nations programmes and funds and the specialized agencies, as well as in conferences of the parties to United Nations treaties. Advice received suggests that the General Assembly does not have the authority to require indigenous peoples' participation in all activities or entities associated with the United Nations.

21. Moreover, generally the General Assembly does not determine procedures for participation in the Economic and Social Council. For the Human Rights Council it has done so in resolution 60/251, by which it established the Council; the rules for participation of observers of the Council were subsequently confirmed by the Human Rights Council itself in its rules of procedure. However, the General Assembly may recommend that the United Nations as a whole, including all associated entities and processes, enhance participation of indigenous peoples and it is anticipated that such a recommendation would be influential. There was considerable support expressed for such a General Assembly recommendation.

22. It was also noted that there is a relationship between the rules and procedures regulating indigenous peoples' participation and the appropriate venues for indigenous participation and forms of participation. In other words, the view was expressed that the clearer and stricter the rules and procedures to accredit indigenous peoples' institutions the more likely it is that there would be agreement on enhanced levels of indigenous institutions' participation in the higher-level United Nations bodies such as the General Assembly.

23. Some are of the view that almost all issues addressed in the United Nations affect indigenous peoples. Many also maintain that indigenous peoples' representative institutions and organizations should have the right to participate in all United Nations bodies which indigenous peoples themselves judge to affect their interests.

24. Some expressed the need to ensure that indigenous peoples' representative institutions from all regions have in practice and in principle the same rights and capacity to participate in the United Nations.

B. Participation modalities

25. Some are of the view that the specific forms of indigenous participation in the General Assembly should be consistent with those of the vast majority of current holders of observer status in the General Assembly, namely intergovernmental and other organizations. This would include, for example, the right to speak, but not the right to reply, the right to take initiatives or the right to vote. Others referred to the need for adequate seating and access to documents for indigenous peoples' representative institutions.

26. Specific times and a set number of speaking spots for accredited observer indigenous peoples' institutions could be created.

27. In addition, the view was expressed that indigenous peoples should not be included in formal meetings for drafting negotiations on resolutions in the General Assembly context. The contrary view was also expressed: that indigenous peoples should be included in resolution drafting and negotiation sessions in all relevant bodies of the United Nations.

28. At the same time, some are of the view that indigenous peoples' participation in the Economic and Social Council and its subsidiary bodies and the Human Rights Council and its subsidiary bodies should be improved and might be greater than in the General Assembly, for example, with respect to opportunities to speak and disseminate written material.

29. The view was expressed that indigenous peoples' institutions should not take priority over non-governmental organizations accredited to the Economic and Social Council in speaking order or seating arrangements in the Economic and Social Council or the Human Rights Council. Others maintain the contrary, namely that indigenous representative institutions should be afforded some priority as representatives of peoples when issues especially relevant to indigenous peoples are addressed.

30. However, there appears to be a convergence of views among those responding that, at a minimum, indigenous peoples' modalities of participation at the United

Nations should not fall below those of non-governmental organizations accredited to the Economic and Social Council.

C. Method of selection/recognition (accreditation)

31. Most proposals recommended the establishment of a new body to recognize and accredit indigenous peoples' representative institutions as eligible for a new category of participation. Suggestions in this regard included that the new body would consist of both indigenous peoples' representatives and States or, alternatively, indigenous and State-appointed independent experts. Independent experts might be appointed using the method currently employed to select members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.

32. Some suggested that the experts of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues might be mandated to oversee accreditation, while others maintain that any new arrangement should be separate from any existing United Nations bodies or mechanisms, in part to allow the Permanent Forum to focus on its existing mandate. Another suggested that the accreditation process include both a domestic accreditation council and an international accreditation council, both being composed of both indigenous and State appointees of representatives. There was a further suggestion that, for a body to qualify as an indigenous peoples' representative institution, State approval must first be obtained.

33. Conversely, some rejected the establishment of any body external to indigenous peoples for accreditation. On the other hand, some suggested that the accreditation body be composed mainly of States, and/or the use of a "non-objection" procedure. There was however some opposition expressed to the use of a "non-objection" procedure. Another suggestion was that there be a two-step process, with recommendations from a new indigenous accreditation body being reviewed by the General Assembly and the final decision resting with the General Assembly.

34. Another suggestion focused on enhancing existing accreditation procedures for bodies such as the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues instead of establishing a new accreditation body.

35. A number of contributors recommended that membership in the new accrediting body be balanced by geographic area — including equality in membership of individuals from the global North and the global South — and gender representation.

36. There was some discussion, albeit largely inconclusive at this point, on whether any accreditation body should be established under the Economic and Social Council or the General Assembly.

D. Additional relevant factors for indigenous peoples' representative institutions to qualify for a new category of participation

37. There appears to be a convergence of views that qualification for a new category of participation should centre on indigenous peoples' representative institutions. Some are of the view that such institutions should be limited to indigenous governance institutions while others consider that such representative institutions should be understood broadly and flexibly to include different types of organizational structures.

38. Some propose that a definition of indigenous peoples' representative institutions is required, with one State citing the criteria of Convention No. 169 of the International Labour Organization, while others disagree with any attempt to define indigenous peoples or their institutions in any way. Others suggest that, instead of a definition, there might be factors to be taken into account in a flexible manner.

39. There is also the suggestion that if the process and criteria for accreditation are clearer then there might be a greater willingness to enhance indigenous peoples' participation in the United Nations, including in the higher echelons. On the other hand, there is a suggestion that if States have a greater or final say in determining accreditation, there is less of a requirement for a definition.

40. Many indigenous peoples have multiple representative institutions within and across States and regions. There are often layers of representation that include local, regional, State and international levels.

41. There appears to be considerable agreement that indigenous peoples and their representative institutions need to be distinguished from non-governing organizations, organizations composed of indigenous voluntary members or non-indigenous peoples' organizations. The point was made that it would undermine indigenous peoples' governing institutions if other types of indigenous organizations were entitled to the same category of participation. The point was made that an institution should not be eligible to qualify as both a non-governmental organization accredited to the Economic and Social Council and an indigenous peoples' representative institution.

42. On the other hand, questions remain as to whether, for example, indigenous women's organizations or organizations representing indigenous individuals who are not resident on indigenous territories should qualify for a new category of participation as indigenous peoples' representative institutions. Some maintain that global indigenous peoples' institutions such as indigenous women and youth organizations should be eligible for enhanced participation in the United Nations system.

43. There remains a need for clarity around the type of institution that should be eligible for accreditation. Some maintain that it should be confined to governance institutions, including governing councils, parliaments, and traditional authorities, while others claim that not all indigenous peoples' representative institutions can be accurately described as governing, in some cases because of the impact of colonization and/or dispossession, and should not be denied eligibility as a result. Some maintain that eligibility should extend to organizations that represent more than one indigenous people. Others are concerned to ensure that eligibility does not extend to indigenous organizations that may be able to apply for accreditation to the Economic and Social Council as non-governing organizations but that do not actually represent indigenous peoples. Another expressed the view that one indigenous people might be represented by more than one indigenous peoples' representative institution and that there should be flexibility in accommodating multiple forms of indigenous peoples' organizational structures.

44. There is considerable support for the view that State recognition of an organization as representative of an indigenous people, although a relevant factor, should not be a prerequisite for eligibility for accreditation as an indigenous

peoples' representative institution. Many took the view that a necessary factor for qualifying as an indigenous peoples' representative institution is that it genuinely represent one or more people that self-identify as indigenous. Other factors cited as relevant include that the institution represent a people with ancestral connections with their lands, territories and resources, who share history, language and culture, who exercise the collective rights of the people and who have the authority to practice self-government and, where relevant, who have entered into treaties, agreements or other constructive arrangements.

45. One submission recommended that the information required to obtain accreditation be flexible enough to take into account the wide variety of indigenous peoples' governance institutions, including traditional forms of governance, regional representative councils and parliaments.

46. There appears to be considerable agreement that indigenous peoples' representative institutions should have the exclusive authority to designate their own individual representatives in accordance with their own procedures but the said representatives should have appropriate credentials from the institutions that they represent. Similarly, there is support for the view that indigenous representatives should be recognized by their own constituents as such.

E. Comments on resources with respect to enhanced participation

47. A number of participants requested more information about the financial implications of the proposals to enhance indigenous peoples' participation at the United Nations, pointing out that the budgetary implications of enhanced participation need to be considered. Others considered that, while relevant, the financial implications should not be a stumbling block on the road to enhanced participation for indigenous peoples at the United Nations.

IV. Existing practices within the United Nations regarding participation of non-member States, intergovernmental organizations, non-governmental organizations and other entities

A. Observer status in the General Assembly

48. The General Assembly may determine its own rules with respect to the granting of observer status. In accordance with current practice of the General Assembly, in line with General Assembly decision 49/426 of 9 December 1994:

> The granting of observer status in the General Assembly should in future be confined to States and to those intergovernmental organizations whose activities cover matters of interest to the Assembly.

and under General Assembly resolution 54/195 of 17 December 1999:

> In future, any request by an organization for the granting of observer status in the General Assembly will be considered in plenary session after the consideration of the issue by the Sixth Committee of the General Assembly;
>
> The Secretary-General [is requested] to take appropriate measures to bring to the attention of all the States Members of the General Committee and General Assembly the criteria and procedures laid down by the General Assembly

whenever a request is made by an organization seeking observer status in the General Assembly.

49. Document A/INF/70/5 provides a list of non-member States, entities and organizations having received a standing invitation to participate as observers in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly. The specific rights of each observer with respect to their participation, for example, rights to speak, co-sponsor resolutions, raise points of order, are determined either by the specific resolution conferring observer status to the observer or by the current practice of the General Assembly. There are some intergovernmental organizations, such as specialized agencies and related organizations, that participate in the General Assembly as observers through an agreement between the United Nations and the organization.

B. Accreditation to the Economic and Social Council and the Human Rights Council

50. As is detailed in the report of the Secretary-General of 2012 on ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24), there are specific rules regulating the participation of non-governmental organizations (Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/31) in the Economic and Social Council which have been adopted by the Human Rights Council.

51. There are also unique participation rules and specific accreditation procedures for national human rights institutions in the Human Rights Council that are, notably, independent of States (see for example Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 and Commission on Human Rights resolution 2005/74 as well as General Assembly resolution 65/281 on the review of the Human Rights Council and its annex).

C. Ad hoc participation in specific United Nations committees, meetings and the like

52. Additional specific modalities to enable civil society participation are set out in specific resolutions relating to specific committees, meetings and conferences associated with the General Assembly, including, by way of example, General Assembly resolution 66/296 on the organization of the high-level plenary meeting of the sixty-ninth session of the General Assembly, to be known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples. Another example is the General Assembly resolution on the format and organizational aspects of the high-level forum on sustainable development (resolution 67/290).

V. Unique existing procedures to facilitate participation by indigenous peoples in the United Nations

53. Existing procedures to facilitate participation by indigenous peoples in the United Nations are:

- Procedures to enable indigenous participation in the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (see the report of the Secretary-General of 2012 on ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24)). Member States and indigenous peoples both attend as observers in meetings of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.

- Procedures that permit the appointment of indigenous co-facilitators or advisers in processes that directly address indigenous peoples such as in the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples and in this current process led by the President of the General Assembly.

- Practices that support indigenous peoples' participation in various United Nations bodies as set out in the thematic paper prepared by the Inter-Agency Support Group on Indigenous Peoples' Issues, entitled "The Participation of Indigenous Peoples in the United Nations" (June 2014).

Annex II

Potential elements for discussion during the seventy-first session of the General Assembly

Element	*Content*
Background	The process will be guided by the Charter of the United Nations. Commitment to respect, promote and advance and in no way diminish the rights of indigenous peoples and to uphold the principles of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (Declaration). To be guided by the Declaration; articles 3, 5, 18, 19, 20, 32, 33, 39, 41 and 42 isolate some of the key principles, such as that indigenous peoples have the right to participate in all decision-making that impacts on indigenous peoples: In particular its article 18, which affirms that indigenous peoples have the right to participate in decision-making in matters which would affect their rights, through representatives chosen by them in accordance with their own procedures, as well as to maintain and develop their own indigenous decision-making institutions; and article 41, which establishes that the United Nations shall contribute to the full realization of the provisions of the Declaration, including through ways and means of ensuring participation of indigenous peoples on issues affecting them. To recall the decision of the General Assembly, in the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples, by which it committed to considering ways to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, including any specific proposal made by the Secretary-General in this regard (resolution 70/232, eighth preambular paragraph). To further recall General Assembly resolution 70/232 of 23 December 2015 (in particular paragraph 19), in which the Assembly requested the President of the General Assembly to conduct, within existing resources, timely, inclusive, representative and transparent consultations with Member States, indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions from all regions of the world, and existing relevant mechanisms of the United Nations, on the possible measures necessary, including procedural and institutional steps and selection criteria, to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, and also requested the President to prepare a compilation of the views presented during the consultations, including good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, which would form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the Assembly during its seventy-first session.

Element	*Content*
	To recall Human Rights Council resolution 18/8, in which the Council requested the Secretary-General, in cooperation with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, the Office of Legal Affairs and other relevant parts of the Secretariat, to prepare a detailed document on the ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of recognized indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them, given that they are not always organized as non-governmental organizations, and on how such participation might be structured, drawing from, inter alia, the rules governing the participation in various United Nations bodies by non-governmental organizations (including Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/31) and by national human rights institutions (including Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 and Commission on Human Rights resolution 2005/74), and to present it to the Council at its twenty-first session. To further recall Human Rights Council resolution 21/24, by which the Council noted with appreciation the report of the Secretary-General on the ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24) and invited the General Assembly to consider the issue. To take note of the outcome document of the Alta Conference (A/67/994, annex), in which indigenous peoples and nations representing the seven global geopolitical regions, including representatives of the women's caucus and the youth caucus, made collective recommendations to the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples and called for, at a minimum, a permanent observer status for indigenous peoples within the United Nations system, as well as other contributions made by indigenous peoples to this consultation process. To recall the report of the Secretary-General on progress made in the implementation of the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples (A/70/84-E/2015/76). To reaffirm the status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the Charter of the United Nations as well as in article 46 of the Declaration; the consultation process does not aim to alter the exclusive right of States Members of the United Nations to membership in the General Assembly or other United Nations bodies or the status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the Charter and confirmed in the Declaration.
Venues of participation	Options include participation by indigenous peoples' representative institutions: (a) In the General Assembly and, as appropriate, its subsidiary bodies, and/or

Element	*Content*
	(b) In specific meetings of the General Assembly and its subsidiary bodies (for example the Third Committee dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples) and/or (c) In United Nations conferences convened by the General Assembly and/or (d) The General Assembly would: • Invite the Human Rights Council to enhance the participation of indigenous peoples' representative institutions including in its meetings that are focused on rights of indigenous peoples (in particular the dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples and the annual half-day discussion on the rights of indigenous peoples, which are held at every September session of the Human Rights Council), and/or • Invite the Economic and Social Council to enhance the participation of indigenous peoples' representative institutions including in its sessions and its subsidiary bodies that focus on rights of indigenous peoples, and/or • Invite United Nations programmes and funds and the specialized agencies to enhance the participation of indigenous representative institutions.
Participation modalities	May be different according to venue and/or conference. A set number of speaking spots for accredited observer indigenous peoples' institutions could be created. General Assembly separate observer status could be tailored specifically to indigenous peoples' representative institutions: • Speaking rights: a set number of speaking spots for accredited indigenous peoples' representative institutions could be created based on: • Geographical/regional distribution and/or • The usual procedures and practices of indigenous peoples • Right to make written submissions. Recommendations with respect to other bodies, including the Human Rights Council and the Economic and Social Council and its subsidiary bodies, might include: • Accommodation of contributions by national human rights institutions in the sessions of the Human Rights Council could form an example for the participation of indigenous peoples' representative institutions: this would include the right to make written submissions and make oral statements. The national human

Element	*Content*
	rights institutions are entitled to speak immediately after the State under review during the adoption of the outcome of a universal periodic review of that State by the Human Rights Council in the plenary meeting and immediately after the relevant State that is the subject of a country report by a Special Procedures mandate holder during the Council's interactive dialogue with the latter • Specific seating rights • Relaxing limitations on speaking rights: Speaking precedence over non-governmental organizations on issues concerning indigenous peoples; and/or The modalities for participation should not fall below those of non-governmental organizations accredited to the Economic and Social Council.
Recognition/selection mechanism	A new body of experts appointed by States and indigenous peoples to recognize indigenous peoples' representative institutions: • Majority indigenous or • Majority States or • Equal numbers of indigenous and States and/or • Selection processes like selection for the members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and/or • Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. Placement under either the General Assembly or the Economic and Social Council but with authority to determine eligibility of entities for participation also in the General Assembly. Regional representation would need to be considered, and the possibility of using the seven indigenous geo-cultural regions rather than the five geographic regions usually represented at the United Nations could be explored. The General Assembly may reserve the right to approve the selection of the indigenous peoples' institutions.
Selection criteria	The institution should be genuinely representative of one or more indigenous peoples, tribes, communities or nations. There need to be criteria to determine whether an entity is: (a) Genuinely representative; (b) Of one or more peoples, tribes, communities or nations that are in fact indigenous.

Element	*Content*
	The recognized indigenous peoples' representative institutions themselves determine on an independent basis the particular persons who are authorized to act on their behalf. General criteria should be flexible to allow the recognition/selection body to develop through its practice. Determination of whether or not a people, tribe, community or nation is in fact indigenous should be made taking into account the diversity of circumstances around the world. Specific factors to be flexibly considered, in accordance with current practice within the United Nations system, include: • Self-identification (important/essential factor) • State recognition (a significant but not a determinative factor) • Relationship with lands, territories and resources • Cultural distinctiveness • Exercise of collective rights • Practice of self-government • Have entered into treaties, agreements or other constructive arrangements.
Current practices	The distinct participatory rights of indigenous representative institutions would not affect the established practice by which other entities, such as non-governmental organizations having consultative status with the Economic and Social Council, participate in United Nations meetings or by which indigenous peoples, groups and individuals participate in sessions of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.